

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [m, M]$ where

$a, b, m, M \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b, m < M$.

Consider an ("interval-") partition P of $[a, b]$
($P \in \text{par}[a, b]$ in symbol) :

$$P := \{ a = x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_{n-1} \leq x_n = b \}$$
$$= \{ I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n \} \quad (\text{abuse of notations})$$

where $I_i: [x_{i-1}, x_i]$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). The upper/lower Riemann sums of f w.r.t P are defined by

$$U(f; P) := \sum_{i=1}^n M_i \cdot \ell(I_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i (x_i - x_{i-1})$$

$$u(f; P) := \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \cdot \ell(I_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i (x_i - x_{i-1}),$$

where $M_i := \sup \{ f(x) : x \in I_i \}$ $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
 $m_i := \inf \{ \dots \}$

The upper/lower Riemann integrals of f (on $[a, b]$)

are defined by (**最大下界**
最小上界, respectively)

$$\bar{\int}_a^b f := \inf \{ U(f; P) : P \in \text{part}[a, b] \}$$

$$\underline{\int}_a^b f := \sup \{ u(f; P) : \dots \dots \dots \}$$

(or use $(R) \bar{\int}_a^b f$, $(R) \underline{\int}_a^b f$ when we need to compare/emphasize the approaches of Riemann/Lebesgue).

HWs.

(i) $u(f; P) \leq U(f; P) \quad \forall P \in \text{part}[a, b];$

(ii) $m(b-a) \leq u(f; P) \leq \int_a^b f \leq \bar{\int}_a^b f \leq U(f; P) \leq M(b-a).$
 $\forall P \in \text{part}[a, b].$

$$(iii) \quad u(f; P) \uparrow_P : \quad u(f; P) \leq u(f; P') \\ \forall P \subseteq P'$$

$$(iv) \quad U(f; P) \downarrow_P$$

$$(v) \quad u(f; P) \leq U(f; Q) \quad \forall P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{av}}[a, b]$$

(Hint: Look at $\underline{P \cup Q}$)

and $\int f \leq \int f$

$$(vi) \quad \text{If } P \subseteq P' \text{ with } P' \setminus P = \{x'\}, \text{ say}$$

$$x_{i-1} < x' < x_i$$

$$\rightarrow m_i' \Delta(I_i') + m_i'' \Delta(I_i'') - m_i \Delta(I_i)$$

then

$$0 \leq u(f; P') - u(f; P) \leq (M-m) \|P\|$$

$$\text{where } \|P\| = \max\{\Delta(I_i) : i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

$$\downarrow P = \{I_i : i=1, 2, \dots, n\} = \{x_i : i=0, 1, \dots, n\}$$

$$(\text{i.e. } \|P\| = \max\{x_i - x_{i-1} : i=1, 2, \dots, n\})$$

$$(vii) \quad \text{If } P \subseteq P' \text{ with } \#(P' \setminus P) = N (\in \mathbb{N})$$

then

$$0 \leq u(f; P') - u(f; P) \leq N(M-m) \|P\|$$

\downarrow

$$0 \leq U(f; P) - U(f; P') \leq N_\epsilon (M-m) \|P\|$$

$$P \cup P_\epsilon$$

Hint for (vi). $I_i = [x_{i-1}, x_i] = [x_{i-1}, x'] \cup [x', x_i]$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \parallel & \parallel \\ & I_i' & I_i'' \end{array}$$

$$m_i = \inf \{ f(x) : x \in I_i \}$$

$$m_i' = \inf \{ f(x) : x \in I_i' \}$$

$$m_i'' = \inf \{ f(x) : x \in I_i'' \}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} u(f; P') - u(f; P) &= m_i' \cdot l(I_i') + m_i'' \cdot l(I_i'') - m_i \cdot l(I_i) \\ &\leq M(l(I_i') + l(I_i'')) - m \cdot l(I_i) \\ &= M \cdot l(I_i) - m \cdot l(I_i) = (M - m) \cdot l(I_i) \\ &\leq (M - m) \|P\| \end{aligned}$$

//

$$(viii) \quad \int f := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}[a,b]} u(f; P) = \lim_{P \in \mathcal{P}[a,b]} u(f; P)$$

$$\text{and } \bar{\int} f := \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}[a,b]} U(f; P) = \lim_{P \in \mathcal{P}[a,b]} U(f; P)$$

(by definition)

Hint: For the 2nd line, we have to show that $\int f = \bar{\int} f$.
 $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists P_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}[a,b]$ s.t. finer

$$(*) \quad \left| \int f - U(f; P) \right| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall \text{ partition } P \supseteq P_\varepsilon.$$

To do this, let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, by def, $\exists P_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{av}}[a, b]$ s.t.

$$U(f; P_\varepsilon) < \bar{J}f + \varepsilon. \quad \checkmark$$

+ def of $\bar{J}f$

Then, $\forall P_{\text{av}}[a, b] \ni P \supseteq P_\varepsilon$ one has, by (iv),

$$\bar{J}f \leq U(f; P) \leq U(f; P_\varepsilon) < \bar{J}f + \varepsilon$$

so (*) holds.

(ix)

$$\bar{J}f = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} U(f; P) \quad \&$$

$$\underline{J}f = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} u(f; P)$$

think:

For the 1st line, let $\varepsilon > 0$. It suffices to show that $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

(**)

$$|U(f; P) - \bar{J}f| < 2\varepsilon, \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{av}}[a, b] \text{ with } \|P\| < \delta$$

$$U(f; P_\varepsilon) < \bar{J}f + \varepsilon$$

To do this, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and take P_ε , as before.
Let $N_\varepsilon = \#(P_\varepsilon)$ and let $\delta > 0$ be s.t.

$$(\#) \quad N_\varepsilon (M - m) \delta < \varepsilon$$

Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{av}}[a, b]$ with $\|P\| < \delta$. Then,

by (vii), one has \rightarrow (applied to $P, P \cup P_\varepsilon$ in place of P, P')

$$\bar{J}f + \varepsilon > U(f; P_\varepsilon) \stackrel{(iv)}{\geq} U(f; P \cup P_\varepsilon) \geq U(f; P) - \underbrace{N_\varepsilon(M-n) \|P\|}_{\delta}$$

because $\#(P \cup P_\varepsilon) \setminus P \leq \#(P_\varepsilon) = N_\varepsilon$

$$U(f; P) - N_\varepsilon(M-n)\delta$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$U(f; P) - \varepsilon$$

by (#).

by def of $\bar{J}f$

$$\therefore \bar{J}f + 2\varepsilon > U(f; P) \geq \bar{J}f$$

$$|U(f; P) - \bar{J}f| < 2\varepsilon,$$

valid for all P with $\|P\| < \delta$.

(*) Let $\mathcal{B}[a, b]$ consist of all bounded real-valued function on $[a, b]$. Then, $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{B}[a, b]$,

$$\bar{J}(f+g) \leq \bar{J}f + \bar{J}g \quad (1)$$

$$\underline{J}(f+g) \geq \underline{J}f + \underline{J}g \quad (2)$$

$$-\underline{J}f = \bar{J}(-f) \quad \bar{J}(cf) = c\bar{J}f, \quad \underline{J}(cf) = c\underline{J}f \quad \forall c \geq 0$$

If $\underline{J}f = \bar{J}f$ then say $f \in \mathcal{R}[a, b]$ & $\int f := \underline{J}f = \bar{J}f$. By

definitions and (*), one has, $f \mapsto \int f$ is linear,

monotone and $\int f = \int f'$ if $f = f'$ on $[a, b] \setminus A$ with $\#(A) \in \mathcal{N}$.

Hint for (x): For (1), let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $\exists P, Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{par}}[a, b]$ s.t.

$$U(f; P) < \bar{J}f + \varepsilon$$

$$U(g; P') < \bar{J}g + \varepsilon$$

and so

$$U(f; P \cup P') \leq U(f; P) < \bar{J}f + \varepsilon \quad \&$$

$$U(g; P \cup P') < \bar{J}g + \varepsilon$$

Consequently \swarrow pl. check via Δ -ineq

$$U(f+g; P \cup P') \leq U(f; P \cup P') + U(g; P \cup P')$$

$$\bar{J}(f+g) < \bar{J}f + \bar{J}g + 2\varepsilon$$

and $\bar{J}(f+g) \leq \bar{J}f + \bar{J}g$ as $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary.

Below is a revisit of

$$\bar{J}f = \lim_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{par}}[a, b]} U(f, P) = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} U(f, P)$$

$$\int_- f = \lim_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{par}}[a, b]} u(f, P) = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} u(f, P).$$

with the definitions of limits :

$$l = \lim_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{par}}[a, b]} u(f, P) \text{ means: } \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists$$

$$P_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{par}}[a, b] \text{ s.t. if } P \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{par}}[a, b] \text{ with } P \supseteq P_\varepsilon$$

$$\text{then } |u(f, P) - l| < \varepsilon.$$

$l = \lim_{\|p\| \rightarrow 0} u(f, p)$ means: $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

$\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. if $p \in \mathcal{P}_n[a, b]$ with

$\|p\| < \delta$ then

$$|u(f, p) - l| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\bar{J}f = \inf \{ U(f, P) : P \in \text{par}[a, b] \}$
 $=$ 最大下界,

$\bar{J}f + \varepsilon > U(f, P_\varepsilon)$ for some $P_\varepsilon \in \text{par}[a, b]$

so $\bar{J}f + \varepsilon > U(f, P_\varepsilon) \geq U(f, P) \forall P \supseteq P_\varepsilon$
 $\geq \bar{J}f$

showing that $\lim_P U(f, P) = \bar{J}f$ by def of \lim_P

Further, let $N_\varepsilon := \#(\text{partition pts of } P_\varepsilon)$ and

let $\delta := \frac{\varepsilon}{N_\varepsilon \cdot (M-m)}$ (> 0), where $f: [a, b] \rightarrow [m, M] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$
 (with $m < M$ wlog)

Then, $\forall P \in \text{par}[a, b]$ with $\|P\| < \delta$, one has

$\bar{J}f + \varepsilon > U(f, P_\varepsilon) \geq U(f, P \cup P_\varepsilon)$

$> U(P) - N_\varepsilon (M-m) \|P\|$

$> U(P) - N_\varepsilon (M-m) \delta$

$= U(P) - \varepsilon$, so

$\bar{J}f + 2\varepsilon > U(P) \geq \bar{J}f$,

\uparrow partition interval 最大長度

(see Lemma below)
 applied to $P \cup P_\varepsilon$
 in place of P'

showing that

$$|U(P) - \bar{J}f| < 2\varepsilon, \text{ valid}$$

for all $P \in \text{par}[a,b]$ with $\|P\| < \delta$.

$$\therefore \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} U(P) = \bar{J}f$$

Lemma. Let partitions $P' \geq P$
s.t. $\#(P' \setminus P) = N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then
 $0 \leq U(P) - U(P') \leq N(M-m)\|P\|$

Proof of Lemma. By MI., it suffices to
show for the case when $N=1$. To do
this, let us repeat the standard notation
for partition P :

$$P = \{ a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b \}$$

$$= \{ I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n \}, \text{ abuse of notation}$$

Let $P' = P \cup \{x'\}$, say

$$x' \in \text{int}(I_j) \quad x_{j-1} < x' < x_j$$

so $I_j = I_j' \cup I_j''$ where $I_j' = [x_{j-1}, x']$
 $I_j'' = [x', x_j]$

$$M_j' := \max \{ f(x) : x \in I_j' \} \quad M_j = \max \{ f(x) : x \in I_j \}$$

$$M_j'' := \max \{ f(x) : x \in I_j'' \}$$

Note that

$$U(f, P) - U(f, P \cup \{x'\})$$

$$= M_j \cdot l(I_j) - \left(M_j' \cdot l(I_j') + M_j'' \cdot l(I_j'') \right)$$

$$\leq M \cdot l(I_j) - \left(m \cdot l(I_j') + m \cdot l(I_j'') \right)$$

$$= (M - m) l(I_j) \leq (M - m) \|P\|,$$

(proving the lemma for $N=1$.)

Remark. Similarly for $\int f$ and $u(f, p)$:

$$0 \leq u(f, p \cup \{x'\}) - u(f, p) \quad (x_{j-1} \leq x' \leq x_j)$$

$$= (m_j' \ell(I_j') + m_j'' \ell(I_j'')) - m_j \ell(I_j)$$

$$= (m_j' \ell(I_j') + m_j'' \ell(I_j'')) - (m_j \ell(I_j') + m_j \ell(I_j''))$$

$$\leq (M - m) \ell(I_j) \leq (M - m) \|p\|.$$

$$f_\delta(x) := \inf \{ f(u) : u \in V_\delta(x) \} \quad (\delta > 0)$$

Vibration functions

$$f^\delta(x) := \sup \{ f(u) : u \in V_\delta(x) \}$$

$$(\text{so } f_\delta \leq f \leq f^\delta) .$$

$$f_\delta \uparrow \quad \& \quad f^\delta \downarrow \quad (\text{as } \delta \downarrow)$$

$$f := \sup f_\delta \quad (\text{reverse})$$

$$\bar{f} := \inf f^\delta \quad (\dots)$$

(by bisection process)

Note. Take, iteratively, a seq ✓
(P_n) of partitions of $[0, 1]$ s.t.

$$P_n \subseteq P_{n+1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\|P_n\| \leq \frac{1}{2^n} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$(\text{so } \lim_n U(f; P_n) = \bar{\int} f, \quad U(f; P_n) \downarrow_n$$

$$\lim_n u(f; P_n) = \underline{\int} f, \quad u(f; P_n) \uparrow_n)$$

Let $P_n = \{ I_1^{(n)}, I_2^{(n)}, \dots, I_k^{(n)} \}$ and take
two step functions $\varphi_n, \psi_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [m, M]$
s.t. $\varphi_n \leq f \leq \psi_n$ such that $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$,
 $\varphi_n = m_i$ on $\text{int}(I_i^{(n)})$ interior
 $\psi_n = M_i$ on $\text{int}(I_i^{(n)})$

(say $\varphi_n = m$ at all partition points of P_n ,
 $\psi_n = m$ — — — — —)

Then $\int \varphi_n = u(f; P_n)$
 $\int \psi_n = U(f; P_n)$)

Th 1. Let

$$X = [0, 1] \setminus \left\{ \frac{k}{2^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}, k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n \right\}$$

Then

$\varphi_n \uparrow \underline{f}$ and $\psi_n \downarrow \bar{f}$ on X ,

where, $\forall x \in [0, 1]$, by definition

$$\underline{f}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \{ f_\delta(x) : \delta > 0 \}, \quad f_\delta(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf \{ f(u) : u \in V_\delta(x) \cap [0, 1] \}$$

and

$$\bar{f}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf \{ f^\delta(x) : \delta > 0 \}, \quad f^\delta(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup \{ f(u) : u \in V_\delta(x) \cap [0, 1] \}$$

Indeed, let $x_0 \in X$. Then, $\forall n$, $x_0 \in \text{int}(I_{i_n}^{(n)})$

for some $i_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^n\}$; take $\delta > 0$ s.t. $V_\delta(x_0) \subseteq I_{i_n}^{(n)}$.

Note that $f^\delta(x_0) = \sup \{ f(x) : x \in V_\delta(x_0) \} \leq M_{i_n}^{(n)} = \psi_n(x_0)$ and

it follows that $\bar{f}(x_0) (= \inf_{\delta > 0} f^\delta(x_0)) \leq f^\delta(x_0) \leq \psi_n(x_0)$. Thus we arrive at

$$\bar{f}(x_0) \leq \psi_n(x_0), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

so

$$\bar{f}(x_0) \leq \inf \{ \psi_n(x_0) : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \quad (*)$$

Conversely, $\forall \delta > 0$, \exists some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\frac{1}{2^n} < \delta$

For this n , $x_0 \in \text{int}(I_i^{(n)})$ for some i as before. For these n and i one has

$$I_i^{(n)} \subseteq V_\delta(x_0)$$

(because

$$|x - x_0| \leq l(I_i^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{2^n} < \delta \quad \forall x \in I_i^{(n)}).$$

Therefore

$$\sup\{f(x) : x \in I_i^{(n)}\} \leq \sup\{f(x) : x \in V_\delta(x_0)\}$$

i.e.

$$\psi_n(x_0) = M_i^{(n)} \leq f^\delta(x_0)$$

This implies that

$$\inf\{\psi_k(x_0) : k=1, 2, \dots\} \leq \psi_n(x_0) \leq f^\delta(x_0).$$

Since $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary, this implies

$$\inf\{\psi_k(x_0) : k=1, 2, \dots\} \leq \bar{f}(x_0)$$

(so equal by the earlier (*)).